



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,136	03/24/2004	Dan Scott Johnson	200207099-1	5259
22879	7590	05/16/2008	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ZHONG, JUN FEI		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM  
mkraft@hp.com  
ipa.mail@hp.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/808,136	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOHNSON, DAN SCOTT
	<b>Examiner</b> JUN FEI ZHONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-12,14-19 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-12,14-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

##### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/12/2008 has been entered.

##### ***Response to Amendment***

2. This action is responsive to an Amendment filed 3/12/2008. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-19, 21-29 are pending. Claims 1, 12, 17, 26-28 are amended. Claims 7, 13, 20 are cancelled.

##### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

##### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 2623

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Francis et al (Pub # US 2004/0187152).

As to claim 26, Francis discloses an audio/video (A/V) component networking system (e.g., system 1200; Fig. 12), comprising:

a sink component (e.g., PVR 120; Fig. 6) configured to be communicatively coupled between a plurality of source components (e.g., PVR 115 and devices from other zone) and a presentation device (e.g., TV 110) for displaying an aggregated listing of available A/V program data associated with the plurality of source components on the presentation device such that the location of the A/V program data remains transparent to the user (e.g., display video content list from other devices) (see paragraph 0064-0065, 0073, 0092-0099).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-19, 21-25, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrand (Pub # US 20030193619) in view of Margulis (Patent # US 6263503), further in view of Liebenow (Patent # US 6131136).

As to claim 1, Farrand discloses an audio/video (A/V) component networking system (Fig. 2a), comprising:

a sink component (e.g., distributed multimedia node 192; Fig. 2a) adapted to be communicatively coupled between a source component (e.g., home media server 110) and a presentation device (e.g., television 171) for displaying A/V program data and an A/V menu data stream associated with the source component on the presentation device based on a user request transmitted from the sink component to the source component (e.g., displaying EPG; Fig. 15a and 15b) (see paragraph 0062, 0064, 0147-0148), the sink component adapted to one of a plurality of communication networks (e.g., wire or wireless network interface) for obtaining the A/V program data and the A/V menu data stream from the source component (see paragraph 0059, 0061).

Farrand fails to disclose automatically select the available types of communication networks based on a type of the source component.

Margulis discloses select the available types of communication networks based on a type of the source component (e.g., using coax for television, using USB 632 to communicate with a personal computer, using control bus 634 to communicate HAVI compatible devices, using WAN 658 to access digital A/V data from internet; base

station transmits these data to a remote display) (see col. 9, line 35-col. 10, line 57; Fig. 1, 5, 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the network based on source as taught by Margulis to the home network system of Farrand in order to effectively and efficiently implements a flexible wireless television system that utilizes various heterogeneous components to facilitate optimal system interoperability and functionality (see col. 3, lines 11-16).

Farrand and Margulis fail to specifically disclose automatically select a communication network.

Liebenow discloses automatically selecting a communication networks (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the automatically network switch as taught by Liebenow to the home network system of Farrand as modified by Margulis because both of the functions are performed without intervention by the user, and more easy to use (see col.2, lines 5-8).

As to claim 12, Farrand discloses an audio/video (A/V) component networking system (Fig. 2a), comprising:

means for transmitting (e.g., communication modules 240-245 communicating other devices over network 190; Fig. 2b), via a sink component (e.g., distributed multimedia node 192; Fig. 2a) communicatively coupled between a source component

(e.g., home media server 110) and a presentation device (e.g., television 171), A/V program data and an A/V menu data stream from the source component to the presentation device based on a user request transmitted from the sink component to the source component (e.g., user selects a channel on EPG; Fig. 15a and 15b) (see paragraph 0064, 0147-0148; Fig. 2b);

Margulis discloses means disposed on the sink component for selecting at least one of a plurality of available types of communication networks for communicating between the sink component and the source component based on a type of the source component (e.g., using coax for television, using USB 632 to communicate with a personal computer, using control bus 634 to communicate HAVI compatible devices, using WAN 658 to access digital A/V data from internet; base station transmits these data to a remote display) (see col. 9, line 35-col. 10, line 57; Fig. 1, 5, 6)

Liebenow discloses automatically selecting a communication networks (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

As to claim 17, this claim differs from claim 12 only in that claim 17 is method whereas claim 12 is apparatus. Thus, claim 17 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 12 above.

As to claim 29, Farrand discloses an audio/video (AV) component networking system, comprising:

a sink component (e.g., distributed multimedia node 192; Fig. 2a) configured to be communicatively coupled between a source component (e.g., home media server 110) and a presentation device (e.g., television 171) for displaying A/V program data associated with the source component on the presentation device based on a user request transmitted from the sink component to the source component (e.g., user selects a channel on EPG; Fig. 15a and 15b) (see paragraph 0064, 0147-0148; Fig. 2b),

Margulis discloses the sink component configured to automatically select from at least two different types of communication networks for transferring the A/V program data from the source component based on a type of A/V program data desired from the source component (e.g., using coax for television, using USB 632 to communicate with a personal computer, using control bus 634 to communicate HAVI compatible devices, using WAN 658 to access digital A/V data from internet; base station transmits these data to a remote display) (see col. 9, line 35-col. 10, line 57; Fig. 1, 5, 6)

Liebenow discloses automatically selecting a communication networks (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

As to claim 2, Farrand discloses sink component (e.g., distributed multimedia node 192; Fig. 2a).

Liebenow discloses automatically change from the selected type of communication network to another type of communication network (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

As to claim 3, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component comprises a registration module (e.g., network interface 605) adapted to register a type of communication network for communicating with the source component (e.g., home media server 110) (i.e., network interface 605 communicates with home media server 110 through network 190, some initiation must make, such as "handshake" (register a network for communication) to notify the home media server 110 in order to establish the connection) (see paragraph 0061).

As to claim 4, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component comprises a registration module (e.g., network interface 605) adapted to register the source component with the sink component (e.g., distributed multimedia node 192) (i.e., network interface 605 communicates with home media server 110 through network 190, some initiation must make, such as "handshake" (register a network for communication) to notify both end in order to establish the connection) (see paragraph 0061).

As to claim 5, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component is adapted to present to the user a listing of the A/V program data available from the source component (see paragraph 0064).

As to claim 6, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component comprises a registration module adapted to register the presentation device with the sink component (i.e., ASIC 620 outputting video and audio signals to different devices, there is an identification (registration) for each device in order for the network to notify it) (see paragraph 0061, 0082).

As to claim 8, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component comprises a network manager adapted to at least one of a plurality of available types of communication networks based on a type of the A/V program data (e.g., video data has higher bit rate, audio data has lower bit rate, for audio transmission system can use a lower bandwidth network) (see paragraph 0121, 0122).

Liebenow discloses automatically selecting at least one of a plurality of different types of communication networks (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

As to claim 9, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component is adapted to present to the user on the presentation device a listing of the A/V program data available from the source component (see paragraph 0064).

As to claim 10, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component is adapted to decode the A/V program data for presentation on the presentation device (e.g., MPEG-2 decoder 630 decodes data from home media server 110) (see paragraph 0061).

As to claim 11, Farrand discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the sink component is adapted to display to the user via the presentation device a menu interface associated with the source component (e.g., the data from TV broadcasting or mass storage device) (see paragraph 0064).

As to claims 14, it contains the limitations of claim 8 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 8 above.

As to claims 15 and 21, they contain the limitations of claim 3 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 3 above.

As to claim 16, it contains the limitations of claim 4 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 4 above.

As to claim 18, claim 17 meets the limitations.

As to claim 19, it contains the limitations of claim 2 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 2 above.

As to claim 22, it contains the limitations of claim 5 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 5 above.

As to claim 23, it contains the limitations of claim 9 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 9 above.

As to claim 24, it contains the limitations of claim 10 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 10 above.

As to claim 25, it contains the limitations of claim 11 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 11 above.

8. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francis et al (Pub # US 2004/0187152) in view of Farrand (Pub # US 20030193619), further in view of Liebenow (Patent # US 6131136).

As to claim 27, note the discussion above, Francis discloses multiple communication networks (see paragraph 0035).

Francis does not specifically disclose automatically switch communication networks.

Farrand discloses the sink component is configured to switch from a first type of communication network to a second type of communication network (e.g., wire and wireless network) based on a signal condition on the first type of communication

network (e.g., device outside of wireless RF transmission range) (see paragraph 0058-0061).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to switch communication network as taught by Farrand to the home network system of Francis because when a device outside of wireless communication range, the device could communicate with wire without lose communication (see col.2, lines 5-8).

Liebenow discloses automatically change from the selected type of communication network to another type of communication network (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the automatically network switch as taught by Liebenow to the home network system of Francis as modified by Farrand because both of the functions are performed without intervention by the user, and more easy to use (see col.2, lines 5-8).

As to claim 28, Francis discloses multiple communication networks (see paragraph 0035).

Farrand discloses the sink component is configured to switch from a first type of communication network to a second type of communication network (e.g., wire and wireless network) based on a change in the AV program data being transmitted from the

source component (e.g., switch to wire connection if transmitting data to a large bandwidth require device) (see paragraph 0058-0061).

Liebenow discloses automatically change from the selected type of communication network to another type of communication network (e.g., wire or wireless network) (see abstract).

***Conclusion***

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kou et al. (Pub # US 2002/0078293 A1) is cited to teach controlling home network devices.

Gatto et al. (Pub # US 2002/0174444 a1) is cited to teach set top box with home network capability.

McCoskey et al. (Pub # US 2003/0028889 A1) is cited to teach aggregating video in home network.

Callway et al. (Pub # US 2003/000027517 A1) is cited to teach using different transmitter based on data rate.

***Inquiries***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jun Fei Zhong whose telephone number is 571-270-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFZ  
5/8/2008

/Vivek Srivastava/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623